The Monte-Carlo Revolution in Go

Rémi Coulom

October, 2015

Game Complexity

Game	Complexity*	Status
Tic-tac-toe	10^3	Solved manually
Connect 4	10^{14}	Solved in 1988
Checkers	10^{20}	Solved in 2007
Chess	10^{50}	Programs $>$ best humans
Go	10^{171}	Programs $\ll$ best humans

*Complexity: number of board configurations

How can we deal with complexity ?

Some formal methods

- Use symmetries
- Use transpositions
- Combinatorial game theory

How can we deal with complexity ?

Some formal methods

- Use symmetries
- Use transpositions
- Combinatorial game theory

When formal methods fail

- Approximate evaluation
- Reasoning with uncertainty

Dealing with Huge Trees



Full tree

Dealing with Huge Trees



Full tree



Classical approach =
depth limit + pos. evaluation (E)
(chess, shogi, . . .)

Dealing with Huge Trees



Full tree



Classical approach =
depth limit + pos. evaluation (E)
(chess, shogi, . . .)



Monte-Carlo approach =
random playouts

A Random Playout



Principle of Monte-Carlo Evaluation



Basic Monte-Carlo Move Selection



Algorithm

- N playouts for every move
- Pick the best winning rate
- 5,000 playouts/s on 19x19

Basic Monte-Carlo Move Selection



Algorithm

- N playouts for every move
- Pick the best winning rate
- 5,000 playouts/s on 19x19

Problems

- Evaluation may be wrong
- For instance, if all moves lose immediately, except one that wins immediately.

Monte-Carlo Tree Search



Principle

- More playouts to best moves
- Apply recursively
- Under some simple conditions: proven convergence to optimal move when #playouts$\rightarrow\infty$

Incorporating Domain Knowledge with Patterns



○ to move

Patterns

- Library of local shapes
- Automatically generated
- Used for playouts
- Cut branches in the tree

Examples (out of ∼30k)



Good



Bad

History (1/2)

Pioneers

- 1993: Brügmann: first MC program, not taken seriously
- 2000: The Paris School: Bouzy, Cazenave, Helmstetter

History (1/2)

Pioneers

- 1993: Brügmann: first MC program, not taken seriously
- 2000: The Paris School: Bouzy, Cazenave, Helmstetter

Victories against classical programs

- 2006: Crazy Stone (Coulom) wins 9×9 Computer Olympiad
- 2007: MoGo (Wang, Gelly, Munos, ...) wins 19×19

History (2/2)

Games Against Strong Professionals

- 2008-08:  MoGo beats Myungwan Kim (9p), H9
- 2012-03:  Zen beats Masaki Takemiya (9p), H4
- 2013-03:  CrazyStone beats Yoshio Ishida (9p), H4
- 2014-03:  CrazyStone beats Norimoto Yoda (9p), H4
- 2015-03:  CrazyStone loses to Chikun Cho (9p), H3

Limits of the Current MC Programs



Limits of the Current MC Programs



Difficulties

- Tree search can't handle all the threats.
- Must decompose into local problems.

Conclusion

Summary of Monte-Carlo Tree Search

- A major breakthrough for computer Go
- Works similar games (Hex, Amazons) and automated planning

Conclusion

Summary of Monte-Carlo Tree Search

- A major breakthrough for computer Go
- Works similar games (Hex, Amazons) and automated planning

Perspectives

- Policy gradient for adaptive playouts
- Deep convolutional neural networks for clever patterns